CSCI 334: Principles of Programming Languages

Lecture 7: PL Fundamentals III

Instructor: Dan Barowy Williams Announcements

Resubmission procedure

Wednesday Office Hours now 3pm-5pm (originally: 10am-noon)

(If these hours still don't work for you, make an appointment)

Mental Technique #3

Confusion is not necessarily a bad thing.



Mental Technique #3

Sometimes Confusion is a Good Thing Tania Lombrozo NPR, December 14, 2015

"Students who were confused ... as reflected in inconsistent responses on subsequent questions ... ultimately did better on a final test assessing whether they learned the key points from the lessons."

https://www.npr.org/sections/13.7/2015/12/14/459651340/sometimes-confusion-is-a-good-thing

Mental Technique #3

Sometimes Confusion is a Good Thing Tania Lombrozo NPR, December 14, 2015

"One possibility is that confusion is ... a marker that an important cognitive process has taken place: The learner has appreciated some inconsistency or deficit in her prior beliefs. ... [A]nother possibility is that confusion is itself a step toward learning — an experience that motivates the learner to reconcile an inconsistency or remedy some deficit. In this view, confusion isn't just a side effect of beneficial cognitive processes, but a beneficial process itself. Supporting this stronger view, there's evidence that experiencing difficulties in learning can sometimes be desirable, leading to deeper processing and better long-term memory."

https://www.npr.org/sections/13.7/2015/12/14/459651340/sometimes-confusion-is-a-good-thing

Mental Technique #3

The importance of stupidity in scientific research Martin A. Schwartz Journal of Cell Science 2008 121: 1771 doi: 10.1242/jcs.033340

"Focusing on important questions puts us in the awkward position of being ignorant. One of the beautiful things about science is that it allows us to bumble along, getting it wrong time after time, and feel perfectly fine as long as we learn something each time. No doubt, this can be difficult for students who are accustomed to getting the answers right."



Mental Technique #3

Confusion is not necessarily a bad thing.



It is a signal that you are not confident in your knowledge.

Use this signal to guide your study.

Parse Trees

There are at least two forms of trees that we might refer to "parse trees"



Computability

i.e., what can and cannot be done with a computer

def: a function *f* is **computable** if there is a program *P* that computes *f*.

In other words, for **any** (valid) input *x*, the computation *P*(*x*) **halts** with output *f*(*x*).

Computability <u>example</u> valid inputs are **integers** P(x) is: f(x) = x + 5 computable? yes.





The Halting Problem Decide whether program P halts on input x.

Given program P and input x,

Halt(P,x) = $\begin{cases}
returns true if P(x) halts \\
returns false otherwise
\end{cases}$

How might this work?

Clarifications:

P(x) is the output of program P run on input x. The type of x does not matter; assume string.



The Halting Problem

Notes on the proof:

The form of the proof is reductio ad absurdum.

Literally: "reduction to absurdity".

Start with axioms and presuppose the outcome we want to show.

Then, following strict rules of logic, derive new facts.

Finally, derive a fact that contradicts another fact.

Therefore, the presupposition must be false.

The Halting Problem

Notes on the proof:

The proof relies on the kind of substitution principle that we've been using to "compute" functions in the lambda calculus.

Remember: we are looking to produce a contradiction.

The proof is hard to "understand" because the facts it derives don't actually make sense. Don't read too deeply.







The Halting Problem

Isn't DNH itself a program?

What happens if we call DNH (DNH)?

DNH (DNH) will run forever if DNH (DNH) halts. DNH (DNH) will halt if DNH (DNH) runs forever.

This literally makes no sense.

Foo is true if Foo is false. Foo is false if Foo is true.

Therefore, the Halt function cannot exist.

Next class:

How we can use the Halting Problem to show that other problems cannot be solved (in general) by "reduction" to the Halting Problem.

We cannot tell, in general...

... if a program will run forever.

- ... if a program eventually produces an error.
- ... if a program will re-read an item in memory.